

**Economics 703: Advanced Micro**

**Problem Set 2**

1. The normal-form game described below is played twice; the players' preferences are represented by the average of their stage-game payoffs. The variable  $x$  is greater than 4, so that  $(4,4)$  is not an equilibrium payoff in the stage game. How large can  $x$  be if the following strategy is to be subgame-perfect equilibrium behavior for the two players? Play  $(s_2, t_2)$  in the first stage. If no one deviates or if both deviate then play  $(s_1, t_1)$  in the second stage. If only player 1 deviates then play  $(s_3, t_3)$  in the second stage, and if only player 2 deviates then play  $(s_4, t_4)$  in the second stage.

		2			
		$t_1$	$t_2$	$t_3$	$t_4$
1	$s_1$	2, 2	$x, 0$	-1, 0	0, 0
	$s_2$	0, $x$	4, 4	-1, 0	0, 0
	$s_3$	0, 0	0, 0	0, 2	0, 0
	$s_4$	0, -1	0, -1	-1, -1	2, 0

2. The normal-form game described below is repeated infinitely. Both players discount payoff streams using the discount factor  $\delta = .9$ . Determine the length of the punishment period described in the strategies in Theorem 1 in Fudenberg and Maskin that is necessary to support  $(4,4)$  as the payoff in every stage of a subgame-perfect equilibrium. What punishment length is necessary to support  $(3/4, 3/4)$  in every stage of a subgame-perfect equilibrium? Note that the latter does not Pareto dominate  $(1,1)$ , the payoff to the pure-strategy Nash equilibrium of the stage game.

		2		
		$t_1$	$t_2$	$t_3$
1	$s_1$	1, 1	5, 0	0, 0
	$s_2$	0, 5	4, 4	0, 1
	$s_3$	0, 0	1, 0	-1, -1

3. Consider complete-information Rubenstein bargaining between two agents to decide the apportionment of a finitely-divisible good. Agents alternate making offers, and face a common discount factor  $\delta$ . As usual, each offer takes one round, and receiving a portion  $p$  of the item in round  $t$  is worth  $\delta^t \cdot p$  to an agent. The item consists of  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  equally-sized, indivisible components, and any offer that requires dividing one of these components is invalid. That is, only offers  $(\frac{k}{m}, 1 - \frac{k}{m})$ , with  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, K\}$ , may be proposed by either agent.

- (a) Say the item can only be divided into fourths and agents have discount factor of 85%, i.e.  $K = 4$  and  $\delta = 0.85$ . Find the subgame perfect equilibria when the game lasts for  $T = 4$  rounds.
- (b) Now, consider the infinite-horizon version of this bargaining game. Keeping  $K = 4$  and  $\delta = 0.85$ , find all divisions supported by subgame perfect equilibria.
- (c) In the case of an infinitely-divisible item, the subgame perfect equilibrium in the finite-horizon case converges to that in the infinite-horizon case as the number of rounds  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Based on your answers to (a) and (b), is that still the case here? Give some intuition for why we should expect this answer. (Hint: consider the case  $T = 6$ )