Auctioning a Single Item Auctions • 450% of the world GNP is traded each year by auction. • Understanding auctions should help us understand the formation of markets by modeling the competition on one side of the Auctions and Competitive Bidding market. _ McAfee and McMillan (Journal of Economic Auctions represent an excellent application of game theory, • Literature, 1987) since in an auction the rules of the game are made explicit. – Milgrom and Weber (*Econometrica*, 1982) 1 2 Simple Auctions Simple Auctions • English: price increases until only one bidder is left; the remaining bidder gets the Auctions typically take one of four simple forms: good and pays the highest bid. Dutch: prices decreases until a bidder accepts the price; this bidder gets the good and pays the price at acceptance. Sealed Bid Oral Second Price: each bidder submits a bid in a sealed envelope; the highest bidder gets English (↑ price) 2nd Price the good and pays the second highest bid. Dutch (\downarrow price) First Price: each bidder submits a bid in a sealed envelope; the highest bidder gets the 1st Price good and pays the amount of his bid. 3 Models of Private Information Models of Private Information Independent Private Value: Independent private value model: It makes sense if differences in value arise (1)from heterogeneous preferences over the attributes of the item $v_i \sim F_i$ independently of v_i for $j \neq i$. Common Value: It makes sense if the bidders have homogeneous preferences, so they value the item the same ex post, but have different (2)Common Value: estimates of this true value. $e_i = v + \epsilon_i, \, \epsilon_i \thicksim F_i \text{ w/ mean } 0.$ Affiliated value model: In this model, each bidder has private information that is positively correlated with the bidder's value of the good. (3) Affiliated Value: $v_i(x,s)$, my value depends on private information x = $(x_1,...,x_n)$ and state of world s. 5 6

Auction Exercise

- · Bid for single object
- Common value = \$1 per bean
- On slip of paper write:
 - Name
 - Estimate (# of beans \times \$1)
 - Bid in first-price sealed-bid auction
 - Bid in second-price sealed-bid auction

Benchmark Model

Independent Private Values, Symmetric, Risk Neutral Bidders

- buyer values $v_1, ..., v_n \sim F$ on $[0, \infty)$
- seller value v₀ (common knowledge)
- $\ \ \, \text{order statistics} \ \, v_{(1)} \geq v_{(2)} \geq ... \geq v_{(n)}.$
- Unique equilibrium in dominant strategies:
 - English: bid up to your value or until others stop.
 - 2nd Price: bid your value.
- The bidder with the highest value wins and pays the second highest value.

Benchmark Model

- The winner gets $v_{(1)}$ - $v_{(2)}$ ex post and expects in the interim state to get:

$$E_{v_{(1)}}[v_{(1)} - v_{(2)}] = E\left(\frac{1 - F(v_{(1)})}{f(v_{(1)})}\right)$$

This represents the information rent received by the winner of the auction.

• The seller's expected revenue:

$$E[MR(v_{(1)})] = E\left(v_{(1)} - \frac{1 - F(v_{(1)})}{f(v_{(1)})}\right), \text{ with } J > 0.$$

First Price (\equiv Dutch)

Symmetric Equilibrium Bidding Strategy

- Bidder's expected profit: $\pi(v,b(v)) = (v b(v))Pr(Win|b(v)).$
- By the envelope theorem,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\pi}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{v}} = \frac{\partial\pi}{\partial\mathrm{b}}\frac{\partial\mathrm{b}}{\partial\mathrm{v}} + \frac{\partial\pi}{\partial\mathrm{v}} = \frac{\partial\pi}{\partial\mathrm{v}}$$

• But then $d\pi/dv = Pr(Win|b(v)) = Pr(highest bid)$ = $Pr(highest value) = F(v)^{n-1}$ a.e.

10

8

First Price (\equiv Dutch)

• By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$\pi(\mathbf{v}) = \pi(0) + \int_0^{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{u})^{n-1} d\mathbf{u} = \int_0^{\mathbf{v}} F(\mathbf{u})^{n-1} d\mathbf{u},$$

• Substituting into $\pi(v,b(v)) = (v - b(v))Pr(Win|b(v))$ yields

$$b(v) = v - \frac{\pi(v)}{\Pr(Win)} = v - F(v)^{-(n-1)} \int_0^v F(u)^{n-1} du.$$

- $v \sim U$ on [0,1]
- Then F(v) = v, so

$$b(v) = v - v/n = v(n-1)/n.$$

- The optimal bid converges to the value as n→∞, so in the limit the seller is able to extract the full surplus.
- In equilibrium, the bidder bids the expected value of the second highest value given that the bidder has the highest value.

Benchmark Auction: Revenue Equivalence

• Seller's revenue:

English = 2nd = 1st = Dutch.

• This follows since all four have the same probability of winning,

 $d\pi/dv = Pr(Win)$, and $\pi(0) = 0$,

so the bidder gets the same profit in each, and hence so must the seller. [This follows from the analysis of the direct revelation game.]

Asymmetric Bidders Myerson (1982)

If $F_i \neq F_j$, $i \neq j$, the seller should employ an asymmetric auction by awarding the good to the bidder i with the highest value of

$$MR_{i}(v_{i}) = v_{i} - \frac{1 - F_{i}(v_{i})}{f_{i}(v_{i})}$$

 For the special case where the distributions are the same except the means differ, then the optimal auction favors bidders with the lower expected values ex ante.

Optimal Auction

- In the optimal auction, the seller sets a reserve price r s.t. MR(r)=v₀, where MR(v)=v-[1-F(v)]/f(v), so r=MR⁻¹(v₀).
- The purpose of r is to reduce information rents (no rents for $v < r \implies$ less rents v > r).
- Example: Single bidder.
 - $\ \ \, \text{By setting } r, \, \text{the seller gets } \pi(r) \!\!= r \, \left[1 \!\!- F(r)\right] + v_0 F(r).$
 - The F.O.C. for maximization is
 - 1 $F(r) rf(r) + v_0 f(r) = 0$, so $v_0 = r [1-F(r)]/f(r)$.
- The optimal r does not change with the number of bidders.

Royalty

Should the seller use a royalty?

 Suppose V is an expost observation about the true value. Then under a linear royalty scheme the winner's payment is:

 $p = b + r\tilde{v}$.

16

14

Royalty

- If \widetilde{V} is exogenous (no moral hazard), then r should be 100%.
- If V is influenced by the costly effort of the winner, then a lower royalty rate must be used to preserve the correct incentives for the winning bidder to develop the good.
- With moral hazard, the optimal contract is linear in \widetilde{V} .
- If the bidders are risk averse, a royalty can also serve to shift risk from the bidders to the seller.

Risk Aversion

- The revenue equivalence theorem no longer applies. The seller's revenue from the 1st Price > English.
 - English auction: it still is a dominant strategy to bid your value, so the outcome is the same as in the risk neutral case.
 - First price auction: a bidder has an incentive to increase his bid from the risk neutral bid, since by increasing the bid, his risk is reduced: he gets a higher probability of winning a smaller prize. This lottery with reduced risk is preferable for the risk averse bidder.
- Competition is greater with the first price auction, as bidders attempt to limit risk by bidding higher.

13

Risk Aversion

· Optimal Auction:

The seller increases bidder competition by subsidizing high bidders that lose and penalizing low bidders.

· Number of Bidders

Keeping the number of bidders secret increases competition ex ante by reducing the bid dispersion and the informational rents.

Collusion

- Bidding ring: n bidders colluding as 1 (and essentially submitting a single bid).
- The seller should set a higher reserve r using the distribution $F(v)^n. \label{eq:F}$ The seller's profit as a function of the reserve is

 $\pi(r) = r[1 - F(r)^n] + v_0 F(r)^n.$

• The first-order-condition for maximization is satisfied if r is such that

$$v_0 = r - \frac{1 - F(r)^n}{nF(r)^{n-1}f(r)}$$

20

Many Items

What if the seller is not selling a single item, but many items?

- Consider the extreme case where the seller can produce an unlimited amount of the good at a marginal cost of c.
- Optimal selling mechanism: The seller should not use an auction at all, but instead should post a fixed price r such that

c = r - [1 - F(r)]/f(r).

Costs of Entering Bidding

- In many real auctions it is costly to bid. How can this affect the bidding behavior?
- Fishman (1988) considers a model of preemptive bidding in takeovers, where it is costly for bidder i to acquire his private value information v_i.
- If the bidders enter sequentially, then the initial bidder may make a preemptive bid if his value is high enough, to signal that he has a high value, and thereby, discourage other bidders from entering.

Correlated Values

- Correlated values can be modeled as each bidder i having private information x_i with higher x_i implying a higher value v.
- In this case, $E(v|x_i) \ge E(v|x_i, x_i > x_j \forall j \neq i)$, so that winning is bad news about value (winner's curse).

Results

- If the bidders have private values, but these values are not statistically independent, then Crémer & McLean (1985) show that the seller can extract all rents in the optimal auction for the case of a finite number of values.
- This is accomplished by offering each bidder a choice between a lottery and an second price auction.
- This works whenever i's value can be recovered from the values of the other bidders.

19

Milgrom and Weber (*Econometrica*, 1982)

Revenue Equivalence (ipv and risk neutrality):

• English = 2nd Price = 1st Price = Dutch.

If the bidders are risk averse then:

• Dutch = 1st Price \geq English = 2nd Price.

How then can we explain the frequent use of the English auction?

· Values are positively correlated (resell of the object is possible).

Common Value

- Each bidder has a private estimate $x_i = v + \epsilon_i$ of the value v, where ϵ_i represents the noise in the estimate.

Example:

 A glass jar filled with coins. Each bidder in the room estimates the value of the coins in the jar, but the estimate is imperfect: some overestimate the value of the coins, others underestimate the value.

Common Value

- In a first price auction, you would expect each bidder to make a bid that is an increasing function of the estimate.
- If everyone adopts the same bidding strategy, then the winner of the auction is going to be the bidder that overestimated the value of the coins the most.
- A bidder that does not condition his bid on the assumption that his estimate is the most optimistic among all the bidders will lose money quickly as a result of the winner's curse.

Results: Winner's Curse

I won. Therefore, I overestimated the most. My bid only matters when I win, so I should condition my bid on winning (i.e., that I overestimated the most).

• Winning is bad news about my estimate of value. This is a form of adverse selection that arises in any exchange setting: if you want to trade with me, it must be that no one else offered more, because they did not think that the item is worth what I am willing to pay.

27

25

Results: Value of Private Information

- Rents to the bidders come solely from the privacy of the information and not the <u>quality</u> of the information.
- For example, in an auction with three bidders, if two have the same information and a third has poorer but independent information, then the two with the same information will get a payoff of zero in equilibrium, whereas the one with poorer information gets a positive payoff.
- One implication of this result is that the seller should reveal all his private information.

Results: Price and Information

 In common value auctions, price tends to aggregate information: as n→∞, the price converges to the true value if the monotone-likelihood-ratioproperty is satisfied.

26

General Symmetric Model with Affiliated Values

Milgrom and Weber's model:

- n bidders, each with private info $\boldsymbol{x}_{i},$ which can be thought of as i's estimate of the value.
- Let $x = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ be the vector of estimates.
- Bidder i's value of the good depends on the state of world s and the private information x.
- Let f(s,x) be the joint density, which is symmetric in x.
- Bidder i's value $v_i = u(s_i x_i, x_{\cdot i})$ is assumed to be symmetric in x, increasing, and continuous.
- Assume values are affiliated: if you have high value, it is more likely that I have high value.

Definition

• The random variables Z = {S,X₁,...,X_n} are *affiliated* if the joint density f(z) is such that

 $\forall \ z,z' \quad f(z{\mathbin{\smallsetminus}} z')f(z{\mathbin{\wedge}} z') \geq f(z)f(z')$

where $z \lor z'=\max\{z,z'\}$ and $z \land z'=\min\{z,z'\}$.

32

34

Affiliation

$$\begin{split} & Pr(all \ high)Pr(all \ low) \geq Pr(high, low)Pr(low, high) \\ & Pr(HH)Pr(LL) \ \geq \ Pr(HL)Pr(LH) \end{split}$$

×		
	z'=(s, x')	$z \lor z' = (s', x')$
	•	•
	LH	HH
	LL	HL
	•	•
	$z \wedge z' = (s, x)$	z = (s', x)
L		

Definition

The conditional density g(x|s) satisfies the monotone likelihood ratio property, <u>MLRP</u>, if $\forall s < s'$ and x < x'

 $\frac{g(x|s)}{g(x|s')} \ge \frac{g(x'|s)}{g(x'|s')}$

(i.e., the likelihood ratio is decreasing in x).

Properties of Affiliated RVs

• $z = \{z_1,...,z_n\} \in A$ (affiliated) 1. $E[g(z)h(z)|s] \ge E[g(z)|s]E[h(z)|s]$

2. $f \in A \iff \partial^2 \ln(f) / \partial z_i \partial z_i \ge 0$.

 $3. \ f = g {\cdot} h, \ g, h {\,\geq\,} 0, \ g, h {\,\in\,} A \Longrightarrow f {\,\in\,} A.$

 $4. \ z {\in} A, \ g_1, ..., g_k \uparrow \Longrightarrow g_1(z_1), ..., g_k(z_k) {\in} A.$

5. $z_1,...,z_k \in A \Longrightarrow z_1,...,z_{k-1} \in A$.

6. $z \in A$, $H \uparrow \Rightarrow E[H(z)|a \le z \le b] \uparrow as a, b \uparrow$.

 $7. \ E[V_i \mid X_i = x, \ Y_{1} = y_1, ..., Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}] \uparrow in \ x, \ where \ \ Y_1 \ge ... \ge Y_{n-1} \ are \ the \ order \ statistics \ of \ the \ n-1 \ other \ bidders.$

Analysis of Auctions with Affiliated Information

- Throughout the analysis, assume
 - 1. No collusion
 - 2. The choice of auction doesn't reveal info
 - 3. The choice of auction doesn't affect who plays

31

- s

Analysis of Auctions with Affiliated Information

• The main result is that in terms of seller revenue: • For each auction, M&W do the following: Find the symmetric equilibrium bidding function 1. English \geq 2nd Price \geq 1st Price = Dutch 2. Determine how the seller should use any private information • Intuition: The equilibrium bid function depends on everyone's information. 3. Find the order of the simple auctions with respect to the The more (affiliated) information you condition on, the higher the bid. seller's revenue 37 38 Second Price Results How does the price depend on the bids in the simple auctions? • Let $v(x,y) = E[V_i | X_i = x, Y_1 = y]$, which is increasing in x,y. 1. 1st Price: only 1st bid 2. 2nd Price: 1st and 2nd bids • Claim. In a second price auction, the optimal strategy is to bid 3. English: all bids b(x) = v(x,x); that is, bid your expected value given your signal Hence, the English auction does best because it involves conditioning on the • is the same as the second highest. most information. Here it is assumed that the bidders in an English auction observe the point at which each bidder drops out of the auction. 39 40 Second Price Second Price Proof Sketch. Proof Sketch Maximize the probability of winning whenever it is profitable, since your bid Suppose the bidder reports x'. • does not affect your payment. Then P < b iff b(y) < b(x') iff y < x'. Hence, Hence, b(x) is chosen to maximize $E[(V_i - P)1_{\{P < b\}}|x]$, where $P = \max_{j \neq i} b(x_j)$. [v(x,y) - b(y)]f(y|x)dy.The solution to this maximization can be found by applying the revelation max select x' to principle. The FOC evaluated at x' = x is [v(x,x) - b(x)]f(x|x) = 0.• Hence, b(x) = v(x,x). QED

Results

